

| Evaluation Criteria | | Description | Measurement |
|---|--|--|--|
| Engineering Considerations | | | |
| 1 | Local Traffic Operations | Congestion relief provided for local traffic in the adjacent corridor (e.g., capacity, travel demand, traffic operations) | All options greatly improve local traffic operations based on results from Regional Travel Demand Modeling |
| 2 | Restoration of Urban Street Grid | Contribution to local traffic circulation. Re-connection of local streets across the I-49 Lafayette Connector corridor to re-establish grid street pattern in the downtown core area | Transportation Planning Judgement; Tier 1 scoring based on opportunity for additional cross street connections (east/west) (No opportunity to connect = 2; limited opportunities to connect = 3; opportunities to connect between Johnston and 2nd/3rd = 4; opportunities within entire area between north and south ramp pairs = 5) |
| 3 | Intermodal Connections (Air, Rail, Bus) | Development of connections between I-49 Lafayette Connector and other modal choices (e.g., Lafayette Regional Airport, Rosa Parks Transportation Center) | Transportation Planning Judgement; For Tier 1 scoring, all options provide adequate access to other modes of travel |
| 4 | Corridor Safety | Enhancement of corridor safety through segregation of traffic types (e.g. regional, local) and reduction of crash potential due to design features of freeways | Traffic Engineering Judgement; For Tier 1 scoring, all options equally segregate regional and local traffic; Slight variations between options related to safety will be considered in later tiers |
| 5 | System Linkage | Contribution to overall transportation connectivity and compliance with transportation planning on a area-wide and statewide basis | Considers Regional Travel Demand Modeling and compatibility with MPO Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); For Tier 1 scoring, all options provide similar improvements |
| 6 | Network Mobility | Contribution of I-49 Lafayette Connector corridor to enhance access on both a regional and local level | Considers Regional Travel Demand Modeling; For Tier 1 scoring, all options provide similar improvement in traffic access and movements |
| 7 | Hurricane Evacuation | Ability to move large volumes of through traffic along I-49 Lafayette Connector mainline and frontage roads in emergency situations, such as hurricane events | Considers Regional Travel Demand Modeling, Frontage Road Continuity (for alternate/parallel routes used during emergency events), and potential flooding of mainline and ramp roadways (depressed). For Tier 1, all options provide continuous frontage roads (assigned base score of 4); subtract 2 points for I-49 mainline depressed (flooding concern); add 1 point for interchange at Johnston (Johnston is US 167 hurricane evac. route); also subtract 1 point for depressed connector roads with split diamond (flooding concern) |
| 8 | Current Evangeline Thruway Travel Demand Relief | Congestion relief provided for local traffic by removing through-traffic from Evangeline Thruway | Considers Regional Travel Demand Modeling; For Tier 1 scoring, all options remove significant traffic volumes from existing Evangeline Thruway |
| Environmental Considerations | | | |
| 9 | Planned Freeway in Evangeline Thruway Corridor | Accommodate the planned freeway mainline and frontage roads within the existing Evangeline Thruway corridor | Level of variation from Evangeline Thruway footprint |
| 10 | Conformity with the Intent of Federal Legislation | Meets the intent of federal legislation authorizing the southern extension of I-49 from Lafayette to the Westbank Expressway. | Conformity with federal legislation |
| 11 | Regional and Local Government/Agency Support | Enjoys support of regional and local governing bodies and agency staff | Level of Support from local and regional governments (Lafayette Concolidated Government, Acadiana Planning Commission) |
| 12 | Historic Resource Impacts | Minimizes and mitigates the impact on historic resources | Number of historic properties potentially adversely affected; Mitigation measures proposed; Environmental Planning |
| Community Context Considerations | | | |
| 13 | Multimodal Neighborhood Connectivity Across Corridor | Enhancement of connectivity between neighborhoods across the I-49 Lafayette Corridor by reconnecting local streets and providing a safe environment for local residents | Community Planning and Stakeholder Judgement |
| 14 | Economic Development Support/ Downtown Access | Provision of reasonable access to Downtown, and support/creation of enhanced opportunities for local economic development | Economic Development/Community Planning and Stakeholder Judgement |
| 15 | Reinforcement of Neighborhood Land Use Patterns | Creation of opportunities for the use of buffering land uses and the development of community facilities that complement adjacent neighborhoods and mitigate freeway impacts (e.g., visual, noise) | Community Planning and Stakeholder Judgement |
| 16 | Facilitation of Joint Development Opportunities | Potential for Joint Development within the I-49 Lafayette Connector corridor that is compatible with its transportation purpose, serves as an amenity to the adjacent communities, and encourages active uses | Community Planning and Stakeholder Judgement |
| 17 | Accommodation of Pedestrian and Bicycle Circulation and Safety | Creation of opportunities for incorporating pedestrian and bicycle trails/multi-use paths that improve community circulation and provide a safe environment for users | Community and Transportation Planning and Stakeholder Judgement |
| 18 | Establishment of an Activity Corridor that is Uniquely Lafayette | Creation of a multipurpose activity corridor that heals the community divide by providing neighborhood connectivity, incorporates public and private uses that activate the spaces, and utilizes design features that are representative of the Lafayette culture and heritage | Community Planning and Urban Design and Stakeholder Judgement |